REVISION FORMULARY

Technical information (to be verified before the academic revision) (verified correctly with "X")								
Title:								
Presentation date:								
Classification:	Article:	JLH Award:	Review:					
Extension:	Pages:	Words:	Characters:					
Language:	Spanish:	English:	Other:					
Contains:	Abstract:	Abstract # words:	Nº keywords:					
	Abstract in English:	Keywords in English:	Title in English:					
Theme of paper:	In the scope of IH:	Out of the scope of IH:	Partial					
			adjustment:					
Unpublished:	Author affirms it:	Author denies it:	It is a new					
			version:					
References:	Follows APA:	Short footnotes:	APA					
			bibliography:					
General observations	•							
and corrections to be								
made:								

Final decision (mark with X)				
	Accept the contribution as it is			
	Accept the contribution with minor corrections			
	Contribution could be accepted after a comprehensive revision			
	Deny contribution			
	Contribution doesn't fit in the scope of lus Humani			
	(Optionally: Suggest a Journal for this contribution)			

(mark with X)	Excellent	Good	Sufficient	Poor	Comments (if there are)
Relevance of the theme					
Contribution originality					
Methodology					
Organization and structure					
Clarity					
Does the title reflect well the content?					
Does the Abstract shows sufficient					
information, and can be understood by					
itself?					
Are the keywords suitable?					
Is the main problem or thesis well					
delimited?					
Does the contribution analyze the main					
problem or thesis?					
Are the conclusions inferred from the					
analysis?					
Are the references relevant and sufficient?					

Justify your recommendation with your own words: (write here anything that you consider that must be corrected)

Background comments:

•

Form, style and other comments:

٠